

PRIVATE AND CONFIDENTIAL

SUSPENSION ORDER OF APRIL 7TH, 2011

The order was given “to protect the public” for the reasons of

1. The Inquiry Committee is concerned about the authenticity of Dr. Wang’s clinical records, or whether he even maintains clinical records, and the so called “evidence” that he may be manufacturing herbs without proper authority as both concerns raise serious safety concerns for patients.
2. We have produced all of our clinical records to CTCMA in a meeting at Mr. Bob Cooper’s office on April 18, 2011 as proof that we have in fact keep records for all of our patients. We did not agree to let the inspector to keep all the files. CTCMA s inspector stated that she had been directed to obtain ONLY 30 randomly selected records.
3. Dr. Wang also submitted updated proof that he has completed 32 hours of required continuing education to the inspector at the same meeting.
4. On a subsequent meeting at CTCMA’s office on April 27, 2011, the inspector selected 30 names from our records and asked us to provide details for 15 patients among them.
5. We believe that CTCMA has contacted Health Canada to check Dr. Wang’s office to see whether it is a manufacturing facility of herbal tea and whether those equipment are up to standard as Health Canada has initiated an investigation and inspection of Dr. Wang’s office on May 2, 2011. On a letter dated July 5, 2011 from Health Canada stated our operation there has not violated any rules of Health Canada and we can continue to do what we have been doing all along.
6. In our opinion that the two main issues that concerns CTCMA have been dealt with in a professional manner as our Counsel witness and involved in the negotiations and therefore we directed Mr. Bob Cooper to contact CTCMA and to lift the suspension and clear us from any wrongdoing.
7. This attempt is apparently futile as we received the latest Consent Order from CTCMA dated February 13, 2012.
8. Please also note our file keeping has never been question by third-party insurers or agencies such as ICBC as all of our patients experience no problems in their insurance claims.

THE CONSENT ORDER OF FEBRUARY 13, 2012

We can now concluded that CTCMA has treated us unfairly and inappropriately:

1. CTCMA has the obligation to investigate and determine the legitimacy of each and every complaints from the public before they go further. In our case they demonstrated they have not. Instead CTCMA made false accusation and try to harass us all along by misusing their authority.
2. As stated on item "K" of the Consent Order, Dr. Wanda Stephens first attended our office on January 27, 2011 trying to inspect our clinic and to obtain the clinical records. She was rejected by Dr. Wang by the simple reason that she could not prove she represented CTCMA and was authorised by the college to do so. We have the obligation to protect our patient's privacy and therefore not to release the information to anyone that claimed they are with CTCMA.
3. We subsequently received an official letter from CTCMA stating that Dr. Wanda Stephens was authorised by the College to conduct an inspection to our clinic and to obtain the related clinical records.
4. We therefore provided all required information to Dr. Wanda Stephens on her second visit to our clinic on March 3, 2011.
5. Item "M" of the Consent Order is untrue as Dr. Wanda Stephens was not again denied access to our clinic and clinical records as she was given all the required document on March 3, 2011. She also signed the document that she brought along in receipt of all the items CTCMA wanted.
6. We have been cooperative with Dr. Wanda Stephens all along until they again filed a search and seizure order for no reason on March 21, 2011.
7. Item "O" of the Consent order further confirmed CTCMA's harassment to us as the Richmond RCMP was unnecessary called as we firmly believed that the privacy of all of our patients have to be protected.
8. Item "R" confirmed that the clinical records we provided to CTCMA are all genuine as CTCMA call the patients and all the patient verified the treatment by Dr. Wang. As stated, only one patient said she had received treatment in 2011 and was not shown in the record. The reason is that the 2011 record is not within the time span demanded by CTCMA at the time of their request and therefore has not been submitted.
9. With the clinical records CTCMA has on hand, it proves we do keep patient's file with all the necessary information as they can contact our patients and verified all of their visits. We recorded all patients visits and reported all the revenue to Canada Revenue Agency and paid taxes every year.
10. We withhold the financial information to CTCMA as stated in item "Q" as we believed CTCMA is to protect the well beings of the public and not to regulate our revenue and financial

information.

We would like to sue CTCMA for the following reasons:

1. We have been cooperative with CTCMA ever since they started the investigation but they kept on falsely accusing us of the other way. We provided them the documents but they denied not.
2. They provided false information and evidence to the court to obtain the Search and Seizure Order from the Court on March 21, 2011 under S. 29 of the Act. They misused the Act and wrongly administered the ACT.
3. They incorrect use of the RCMP's manpower and time on March 31, 2011 as that visit is completely not necessary as we have been cooperative with CTCMA all along and complied with all rules. CTCMA intentionally tried to cause harassment to Dr. Wang with taxpayer's money.
4. Our licence with CTCMA has been unreasonably suspended from April 7, 2011 as CTCMA's Inquiry committee issued an ex parte order under s. 35 of the ACT with unfounded reasons.
5. We subsequently again submitted all the information they demanded through Mr. Cooper's office. We believed those information have never been dealt with properly by CTCMA.
6. We requested many times through Mr. Cooper's office to reinstate our licence with our compliance to submit all the information CTCMA's requested. We have not heard anything from CTCMA commenting on our documents or how and why they concluded we do not keep our clinical records properly until the Consent Order of February 13, 2012.
7. The Consent Order of February 13, 2012 shown that CTCMA has been dealing with this matter inappropriately and unfairly to Dr. Wang for the reason stated earlier.
8. The Consent Order wrongfully convicted Dr. Wang of all the alleged wrongdoings without a trial or tribunal with complete and true evidences.
9. The harshness of the Consent Order is best described in para. 7 that virtually CTCMA can station an inspector at Dr. Wang's clinic every day in the next two years with all the manpower and expenses billed to Dr. Wang. We regard this is CTCMA's attempt to stop Dr. Wang from practising and bankrupt him eventually.
10. Dr. Wang was a recognised Senior Dr. TCM registered with CTCMA before Miki's complaint and CTCMA should not question his basic skill and knowledge. He was a well known Dr. TCM in China. He has been practising Tradition Chinese Medicine in Vancouver for a long time even before CTCMA established. Dr. Wang has good reference from his patients and certainly would not admit to any unfounded accusation.